



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,778	04/02/2004	Gordana Vunjak-Novakovic	103248-010501	8172
67395 7590 08/21/2009 GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP 200 PARK AVE. P.O. BOX 677 FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932				
EXAMINER				
SINGH, SATYENDRA K				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1657				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/21/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/815,778

Applicant(s)

VUNJAK-NOVAKOVIC ET AL.

Examiner

SATYENDRA K. SINGH

Art Unit

1657

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH.(3) RALPH SELITO (ATTORNEY OF RECORD).(2) SANDY SAUCIER (PRIMARY EXAMINER).(4) ERIC E. BLEICH (ATTORNEY OF RECORD).

Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: PROPOSED NEW CLAIM.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hart et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorneys of record Mr. Ralph Selito and Eric Bleich discussed the proposed amendment to the pending claims (in the form of a newly proposed claim). The 103a rejection of record was discussed in light of the cited art of Hart et al. and the new limitations of the newly proposed claim. Applicants may provide arguments and/ or amendments to further the prosecution of this case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sandra Saucier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651